**USDC SDNY** DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DATE FILED:

LAWYERS

## IEMO ENDORSED

## Davis Wright Tremaine LLP

ANCHORAGE SAN FRANCISCO PORTLAND WASHINGTON, ILU. SHANGHAL

VICTOR A. KOVNGR DIRECT (212) 489-8230 vietarkovner@dwl.com

Hon. Deborah A. Batts United States District Judge 1633 BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10019-6708

TEL (212) 489-8230 FAX (212) 489-8340 www.dwt.com

May 6, 2008

By Hand

The Court will hold a telephone outerence to discuss This Issue on May 19, 2008 at 10 AM.

United States District Court for the Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street

New York, New York 10007

Re:

District Court for the Davis Wright should withate The strict of New York Davis Wright should withate The call .\*

Third Church of Christ, Scientist v. City of New York, et al.;

O7 CV 10962 (DAB) Us. Brewnen should fare the interioga—

this: tones to my Chambers by 5/15/28. Dear Judge Batts:

This firm represents plaintiff Third Church of Christ, Scientist in this matter. I write to respond to Ms. Brennan's letter that we received last evening regarding the City's interrogatories.

The City served its interrogatories on March 28, 2008. We immediately noted that the interrogatories were improper. On April 1st, my colleague Ms. Pa wrote to Ms. Brennan that "[r]ather than simply ignore these improper interrogatory requests, or wait the full 30 days we have to respond and then inform you that we decline to answer such improper requests, we thought the better course was to raise the issue now " The City continued to take the position that its requests were proper, though we - in a letter dated April 7<sup>th</sup> from Ms. Pa, an email from me on April 8th, and a letter from my partner John Cuti on April 24th – consistently advised Ms. Brennan that the City's interrogatories were improper under the applicable rules. Ms. Brennan never withdrew or amended the interrogatories. Accordingly, when Plaintiff responded (timely) to the interrogatories, it responded only as required by the rules.

Now Ms. Brennan has written to ask the Court to intervene. For the first time, the City acknowledges that its interrogatories, as we had noted from the outset, sought information beyond that permitted by the rules. Also for the first time, the City has suggested that it propounded more than 100 substantive interrogatories as a substitute for a deposition. That is new information to us. It may be that we can agree with the City to respond to substantive

Hon. Deborah A. Batts Page 2 May 6, 2008



interrogatories in lieu of the City taking a deposition. We will call Ms. Brennan to discuss whether we can agree to this approach. Because I trust we can work something out, I respectfully submit that there is no need at this time for the Court to schedule a conference to discuss the City's interrogatory requests. If the parties cannot agree, then I imagine we will jointly request Your Honor's assistance.

espectfully submitted,

cc: Ave Maria Brennan, Esq. (by email)